Salk Rising Stars

Criteria	Emerging (1)	Good (2)	Outstanding (3)
Project Abstract	 Lack of clarity or limited explanation on how postdoctoral work contributes to existing scientific knowledge and innovation. Scientific impact, contribution, or significance is unclear or not very clearly articulated. 	 Postdoctoral work may contribute to scientific knowledge and innovation, but needs additional explanation and/or evidence to produce a convincing argument. Has some or may have potential for scientific impact, contribution, significance. 	 Clear explanation on how postdoctoral work contributes to scientific knowledge and innovation. High potential for scientific impact, contribution, significance.
Career Goals	 No explanation of how research will be expanded throughout the career. Candidate's research has no potential for complementing or expanding current Salk research areas or projects. 	 Limited explanation of how research will be expanded throughout their research career and/or as faculty. Candidate has limited potential to succeed as Salk faculty, because the research does not complement or expand current Salk research areas or projects. 	 Clear, persuasive explanation of how research will be expanded throughout research career and/or as faculty. Candidate has high potential to succeed as Salk faculty due to research complementing and expanding current Salk research areas or projects (excellent Salk fit).



Criteria	Emerging (1)	Good (2)	Outstanding (3)	
Broader Impacts Statement	 Offers limited or minimal impact in most areas. The statement lacks concrete examples and plans for achieving broader impacts. Examples: This response mentions STEM education efforts but lacks specificity or tangible plans. Offers minimal past involvement in strengthening STEM education or limited evidence of impactful engagement with students or educational programs. May mention public outreach, but lacks detailed examples of past activities or future goals. Acknowledges societal well-being but does not clearly demonstrate how the research or scientist's work contributes. Mentions partnerships but lacks details about the effectiveness or scope of these collaborations. Grammar and/or spelling may have needed correction or 	and well-articulated future goals. Demonstrates meaningful potential for broader impacts, but may lack scalability or full development in some areas. Examples: Discusses past efforts to contribute to STEM education, such as mentoring, teaching, or outreach programs, but with room concrete details. Shares basic ideas for	multiple areas, with clear, innovative plans for future contributions. Demonstrates leadership and vision in creating lasting societal benefits. Examples: Speaks to a strong, sustained involvement in STEM education, such as creating or leading programs that have a proven impact on student engagement and learning. Expresses clear, innovative plans to create or scale impactful STEM education initiatives, with measurable outcomes that contribute to longterm educational improvement, across backgrounds and research discipline. Shares innovative plans for deep, broad, and long-	