Aberrant neural and cardiac development in mice lacking the ErbB4 neuregulin receptor
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Various in vitro studies have suggested that ErbB4 (HER4) is a receptor for the neuregulins, a family of closely related proteins implicated as regulators of neural and muscle development, and of the differentiation and oncogenic transformation of mammary epithelia1,2. Here we demonstrate that ErbB4 is an essential in vitro regulator of both cardiac muscle differentiation and axon guidance in the central nervous system (CNS). Mice lacking ErbB4 die during mid-embryogenesis from the aborted development of myocardial trabeculae in the heart ventricle. They also display striking alterations in innervation of the hindbrain in the CNS that are consistent with the restricted expression of the ErbB4 gene in rhombomeres 3 and 5. Similarities in the cardiac phenotype of ErbB4 and neuregulin gene mutants suggest that ErbB4 functions as a neuregulin receptor in the heart; however, differences in the hindbrain phenotypes of these mutants are consistent with the action of a new ErbB4 ligand in the CNS.

ErbB4 (HER4), ErbB3 (HER3) and ErbB2 (HER2, Neu) are a family of cell-surface receptors that exhibit structural similarity to the receptor for epidermal growth factor (EGF)3-6. Although these proteins have been intensively studied in a variety of biological contexts in cell culture, their action and interaction during mammalian development in vivo are largely unknown. In cultured cells, the ligands for the ErbB2/3/4 receptors are the neuregulins7,8, a set of proteins also referred to as glial growth factors (GGFs)7,8, Neu differentiation factors (NDFs)9, heregulins (HRGs)10 and acetylcholine receptor inducing activity (ARIA)11. This surfeit of names reflects the diverse biological activities of the neuregulins in vitro, as glial cell mitogens, receptor binding proteins, mammary differentiation factors, and muscle trophic factors.

We sought to assess the in vitro action of the most functionally independent of the neuregulin receptors—ErbB4 (ref. 1)—through the generation of loss-of-function mutations in the mouse ErbB4 gene. We isolated genomic clones of this gene from a strain 129/Sv genomic library, prepared the pPNT-based targeting vector (Fig. 1a)13, electroporated this construct into the R1 line of mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells14, and identified clonal lines in which the mutated ErbB4 allele had replaced one of the wild-type ErbB4 alleles through homologous recombination (Fig. 1b). Selected ES cell clones were microinjected into C57BL/6J blastocysts, which were then transferred to pseudopregnant females to generate chimaeric mice. Breeding of these chimaeras to C57BL/6J mice resulted in germline transmission of the ErbB4 mutation. Mice heterozygous for the inactivated ErbB4 allele displayed no obvious behavioural or anatomical defects. When these heterozygotes were crossed, however, we observed no homozygous mutants in any of the newborn litters examined. Closer inspection showed that all ErbB4 heterozygotes died in utero, between 10 and 11 days after fertilization (E10-11). As expected from the design of the targeting vector (see Fig. 1), these homozygotes expressed no detectable ErbB4
protein, as assessed by western blot with an ErbB4 antibody (Fig. 1c) or ErbB4 messenger RNA, as assessed by whole-mount in situ hybridization (see below).

The mid-embryonic lethality of ErbB4−/− mice results from the aborted development of heart muscle. This phenotype is consistent with the normal pattern of ErbB4 gene expression at E9.5, which is largely confined to cardiac muscle and the nervous system (Fig. 2). Within the heart, ErbB4 mRNA is present throughout both the atrial and ventricular myocardium (muscle), but is absent from the endocardium, which is the endothelial lining of the organ (Fig. 2c). (These endothelial cells are the site of neuregulin gene expression.17) ErbB4 mRNA is present in both the myocardium of the developing chamber walls and in the myocardial cells that make up the trabeculae16, which are the interlinked finger-like projections of heart muscle that extend from the ventricular wall into the interior of the chamber (Figs 2d and 3a). We found that the E10.5 hearts of ErbB4−/− mice contain intact, apparently normal, chamber walls but are devoid of ventricular trabeculae (Fig. 3a, b). The endocardium appears to be normally configured in the mutants, although the endo-
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**FIG. 1.** Mouse ErbB4 gene targeting. a, Structure of the mouse ErbB4 gene around exon 2, of the targeting construct for homologous recombination, and of the recombinant allele. The ErbB4 gene is >100 kb in length, and the λ phage clone used for preparation of the targeting construct contains only coding sequence from the second exon of the gene (M. Gassmann, unpublished data). Abbreviations: A, Apal; H, HindIII; Spe, SpeI; Sac, SacI; B, BamHI; E, EcoRI; neo<sup>+</sup>, G418 resistance cassette for positive selection of homologous ES cell recombinants; tk, herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene for selection against nonhomologous recombinants. The neo and ErbB4 probes used for the Southern blots of b, and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers (arrows 1, 2 and 3) used for routine genotyping of embryos, are indicated. New Apal and SpeI sites introduced through homologous recombination are indicated by asterisks. b, Southern blots of genomic DNA from wild-type ES cells (+/+) and from F28-22 ES cells heterozygous for the recombinant ErbB4 allele (+/−), hybridized with either the neo<sup>+</sup> (left) or ErbB4 (right) probes indicated in a. Arrowheads in the ErbB4 blot indicate hybridizing bands corresponding to the recombinant allele. The arrowed HindIII band of +/− DNA in the ErbB4 blot is a doublet. c, Western blot of proteins extracted from adult mouse brain, and from wild-type (+/+) and ErbB4 mutant (−/−) E10.5 mouse embryos, probed with an anti-ErbB4 rabbit antiserum (C. Lai, unpublished results). The p180 ErbB4 band and a crossreacting non-ErbB4 band (asterisk) are indicated. d, Top, reverse transcription (RT)-PCR of RNA extracted from whole E10.5 wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/−) and ErbB4 mutant (−/−) mouse embryos. ErbB4−/− embryos contain a low level of mis-spliced, frameshifted ErbB4 RNA that is not detectable by in situ hybridization. Bottom, diagram of deduced 5′ splicing reactions, based on cloning and DNA sequencing of the 468-bp and 316-bp PCR products illustrated above, and comparison of these sequences to the previously mapped splice junctions flanking ErbB4 exons 1–4 (M. Gassmann, unpublished results). Arrows indicate the position of the exon 1 and exon 4 primers used for RT-PCR; fs, frameshift.

**METHODS.** Southern blot hybridizations were performed according to standard protocols. Blots were hybridized with the indicated 32P-dCTP-labelled probes. Western blots were performed according to standard protocols, and were reacted with an affinity-purified rabbit antiserum generated against a glutathione-S-transferase fusion protein containing carboxy-terminal-proximal amino acids of the mouse ErbB4 protein corresponding to residues 1185–1238 of the human ErbB4 sequence (C. Lai, unpublished data). Blots were developed with an ECL chemiluminescent detection system. RT–PCR was performed according to standard protocols, starting with ~5 μl of total RNA isolated from whole mouse embryos. PCR was performed for 30 cycles, using upstream and downstream primers (arrows) corresponding to positions (−12)–12 and 456–433, respectively, from the start codon of the mouse ErbB4 cDNA (C. Lai, unpublished results). Amplified DNAs were subcloned into pCR-Script (Stratagene) and sequenced.
FIG. 2 Expression of the wild-type ErbB4 gene in E9.5 mouse embryos. a, Low-power view (anterior to the left) of an entire E9.5 embryo in which ErbB4 mRNA (dark purple reaction product) is visualized with digoxigenin-based whole-mount in situ hybridization. ErbB4 mRNA is evident in the heart (H) and rostral CNS, with two stripes of expression in segments of the hindbrain (Hb), b, Higher magnification view of the E9.5 hindbrain. ErbB4 mRNA is confined to the dorsal regions of rhombomeres 3 and 5 (r3 and r5), the latter of which is positioned adjacent to the otic vesicle (OV). c, Sagittal section of an E9.5 heart (ventricle) from the embryo previously analysed by whole-mount in situ hybridization as in a. Ventricular trabeculation (see text) begins around this time. ErbB4 mRNA is detectable in the myocardium (My), but not in the endocardium (En) that lines the ventricle. d, Sagittal section of a slightly older (E10.5) heart ventricle in which the formation of trabeculae (Tr) is well advanced. ErbB4 mRNA, detected as white grains with $^{35}$S in situ hybridization, is now apparent in both the myocardial wall (My) and the developing myocardial trabeculae. METHODS. Whole-mount in situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labelled probes and detection with AP-conjugated antibody (Boehringer-Mannheim) was performed as described previously. After visualization, embryos were embedded in paraffin and sections were cut at 8 μm. For $^{35}$S in situ hybridization embryos were fixed at 4% paraformaldehyde, immersed in 20% sucrose/PBS for 24 h and were subsequently embedded in OCT (Tissue-Tek). Hybridization to 14-μm cryosections was performed as described previously, using $^{35}$S-radiolabelled antisense and sense (control) RNAs transcribed in situ from a 5' mouse ErbB4 cDNA fragment subcloned into pCR-Script.

Cardiac cushion, from which the heart valves eventually develop, is often slightly reduced in size relative to wild type (Fig. 3b). The failure of trabeculation in ErbB4−/− mice leads to severely reduced embryonic blood flow: note the greater number of red blood cells present in the mutant heart (Fig. 3b) relative to wild type (Fig. 3a). The E10.5 embryonic lethality and lack of cardiac trabeculation that we observe in ErbB4−/− mice are also prominent features of mice homozygous for loss-of-function mutations in either the neuregulin or ErbB2 genes (see refs 17, 18).

In the E9.5 nervous system, ErbB4 expression is observed in the hindbrain (Fig. 2b), the midbrain and the ventral forebrain (Fig. 2a). Expression in the E9.5 hindbrain is precisely localized to two segments—rhombomeres 3 and 5—and is further restricted within these rhombomeres to the dorsal third of the neural tube (Fig. 2b) and to two symmetric pools of cells immediately above the developing ventral motor neurons (data not shown). The flanking segments that lack ErbB4 mRNA—rhombomeres 2, 4 and 6—are associated with streams of neural crest cells that populate cranial sensory ganglia V (trigeminal), VII and VIII (facial and acoustic), and IX (glossopharyngeal) of the peripheral nervous system (PNS), respectively. We examined the organization and innervation of these ganglia in wild-type...
FIG. 4 Neural phenotype in the hindbrains of ErbB4 mutants. a, b. Wholemount (side view, anterior to the left) of Tu1-stained wild-type (+/+) (a) and mutant (−/−) (b) embryos, respectively, at E10.5. The apparent fusion of the trigeminal (V) and facial/acoustic (VII/VIII) ganglia is marked by the brace in b. In addition, the caudal deflection of the IXth cranial nerve in the mutant (IXn,) into the bundle shared by the Xth and IXth nerves (Xn and Xn, respectively, is marked by the large arrow in b. Vmn, Vmx, Vmn are ophthalmic, maxillary and submandibular nerve branches from the trigeminal ganglion, respectively. c. A 50-μm horizontal section through the hindbrain of a wild-type E10.5 embryo (anterior to the left), previously stained with Tu1 as in a, illustrating the normal connection of the trigeminal (V) ganglion to rhombomere 2 (r2 ep), and of the facial/acoustic (VII/VIII) ganglia to rhombomere 4 (r4 ep), OV, otic vesicle. d. A similar section through the hindbrain of a mutant E10.5 embryo at the level of the r2 ep. The trigeminal ganglion (V) is now connected to the hindbrain at both rhombomeres 2 and 4. e, A more ventral section of the same embryo as shown in d, at the level of the facial and acoustic ganglia (VII/VIII), which are also connected at r2 and r4. (A more ventral position of the facial and acoustic ganglia, relative to wild-type, is a consistent feature of the ErbB4−/− mutants.) f. Dorsal view of a whole mount in situ hybridization reaction, performed in a mutant embryo, for the HoxB1 gene, which remains confined to a single r4 stripe, just rostral to the otic vesicle (OV). g. Flatmount confocal image of a wild-type (+/+) E10.5 mouse hindbrain dissected from a single embryo in which Dil injections were made into the trigeminal ganglion (left) and the facial ganglion (right). The approximate positions of rhombomeres 1–5 (r1–r5) are indicated. Retrogradely labelled motor neuron cell bodies lie near the floor plate (fp), and their axons project to exit points that are just ventral (in this flat-mount just medial) to the entry points (ep) of incoming sensory axons from the injected ganglion (removed during dissection). h. A similar flat-mount confocal image obtained from trigeminal (left side) and facial (right side) ganglion injections in an ErbB4 mutant (−/−) embryo. Note that two sensory axon entry points (ep) are labelled from each of the single ganglion injections.

METHODS. Embryos were fixed in Dent’s fixative overnight at 4 °C, subsequently bleached in H2O2, and then incubated overnight with the Tu1 mouse monoclonal antibody. After washing, embryos were incubated in a horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody overnight, and subsequent HRP reaction was performed with diaminobenzidine and hydrogen peroxide. Anterograde and retrograde axonal tracing was performed as described previously. A solution of Dil C16 (Molecular Probes D-282) was pressure injected into either the lateral trigeminal or the facial ganglion. Dil optical images were obtained by using a Bio-Rad MRC 600 confocal microscope.
and mutant embryos at E10.5 by using an antibody (TuJ1) directed against a neuron-specific tubulin isofrom\(^1\). These analyses indicated a near-fusion of ganglia V and VII, VIII. In addition to these, but not all mutants, we observed a caudal displacement of cranial nerve IX towards nerve X (vagal) (Fig. 4a, b). Both of these alterations are also observed in mice carrying mutations in the \textit{Krox-20} gene\(^2\), which encodes a zinc-finger transcription factor which has expression restricted to r3 and r5 (ref. 24). (The caudal displacement of the IXth nerve, which may be due to the physical interference of the r6 neural-crest cell stream by a caudally displaced otic vesicle, is more robust in \textit{Krox-20} mice than in ErbB4 mutants\(^2\).

Although these superficial similarities in the \textit{Krox-20} and \textit{ErbB4} mutant phenotypes are suggestive, ErbB4 does not appear to regulate expression of the \textit{Krox-20} gene, because (1) \textit{Krox-20} mRNA is still detected in r3 and r5 in the ErbB4 mutants (data not shown), and (2) \textit{Krox-20} is normally expressed more broadly along the dorsal-ventral axis of the neural tube than is ErbB4, and (3) r3 and r5 are largely intact at E10.5 in \textit{ErbB4}\(^-\) mice, but are almost entirely deleted by this time in \textit{Krox-20}\(^-\) mice\(^2\). That \textit{Krox-20} may participate in the rostral-caudal regulation of the \textit{ErbB4} gene remains a possibility.

The near-fusion of ganglia V and VII, VIII in \textit{ErbB4}\(^-\) mice is not, as in the \textit{Krox-20} mutants, due to the loss of r3 and r5, but rather to aberrant innervation from and to the hindbrain. Normally, motor axons destined for the trigeminal ganglion exit, and PNS sensory axons from the trigeminal enter, the hindbrain at r2, whereas axons to and from the facial and acoustic ganglia enter and exit the hindbrain at r4 (Fig. 4c). In the ErbB4 mutants, however, the trigeminal ganglion displays connections to the CNS at both r2 and r4 (Fig. 4d), and the facial and acoustic ganglia are also connected to the CNS at these same two rhombomeres (Fig. 4e). In both cases, the number of axons making up the incorrect connection is approximately the same as the number of axons in the correct connection. Because the loss of ErbB4 in r3 and r5 leads to aberrant innervation at r2 and r4, we investigated whether in \textit{ErbB4}\(^-\) hindbrains r2 had acquired molecular properties of r4, or vice versa. We have found no evidence for this markers that distinguish r2 from r4 remain appropriately restricted in their expression in ErbB4 mutants. For example, the r4-specific homeobox gene \textit{HoxB1} (ref. 26) is neither lost from r4 (as predicted for an axon to r2 transformation) nor acquired by r2 (Fig. 4f) To investigate hindbrain innervation in ErbB4 mutants in greater detail, we performed local injections of the lipophilic tracer DiI into either the trigeminal or facial ganglion of E10.5 wild-type and \textit{ErbB4}\(^-\) embryos. As expected, injection into the wild-type trigeminal ganglion labelled a single sensory axon projection to r2 and motor neuron cell bodies in r3, r2 and r1, whereas injection into the wild-type facial ganglion labelled a single sensory axon projection to r4 and motor neuron cell bodies in r4 and r5 (Fig. 4g). In contrast, local injection of DiI into the \textit{ErbB4}\(^-\) facial ganglion labelled two sensory axon entry points in r4 and r2, as well as motor neuron cell bodies and axons in r4 and r5 (their normal location) and in r1, r2 and r3 (Fig. 4h). For the facial ganglion, equal numbers of sensory axons project to the correct (r4) and incorrect (r2) targets, and equal numbers of motor neuron cell bodies are retrogradely labelled in the correct and incorrect hindbrain segments. For the aberrant connection of the trigeminal ganglion to r4 (Fig. 4h), the primary defect appears to be in sensory innervation from the PNS.

We hypothesise that the mis-targeting of axons to and from r2- and r4-derived cranial ganglia in the \textit{ErbB4}\(^-\) hindbrain results from the loss of an ErbB4-dependent barrier molecule, expressed in r3 and r5, which is inhibitory to axon growth across these rhombomeres. It is possible that this barrier is ErbB4 itself, or alternatively, that ErbB4 activation is required for the expression of the barrier (perhaps a ligand for an Eph-related receptor). Neither \textit{neuregulin} nor \textit{ErbB2} gene mutants exhibit the hindbrain mis-innervation phenotype of the \textit{ErbB4}\(^-\) mice. The most parsimonious interpretation of this difference is that ErbB4 recognizes a distinct ligand in this part of the CNS. A second neuregulin-related gene has been identified and cloned\(^2\), and it is now of interest to determine whether this gene encodes a new ErbB4 ligand.