

## Extra Views

# A New Twist in the Feedback Loop

## Stress-Activated MDM2 Destabilization is Required for p53 Activation

Jayne M. Stommel<sup>†</sup>

Geoffrey M. Wahl\*

Gene Expression Laboratory; The Salk Institute for Biological Studies; La Jolla, California USA

<sup>†</sup>Present address: Department of Medical Oncology; Dana-Farber Cancer Institute; 44 Binney Street; Boston, Massachusetts, 02115, USA

\*Correspondence to: Geoffrey M. Wahl; Gene Expression Laboratory; The Salk Institute for Biological Studies; 10010 N. Torrey Pines Road; La Jolla, California, 92037, USA; Tel.: 858.453.4100; Fax: 858.457.2762; Email: [wahl@salk.edu](mailto:wahl@salk.edu)

Received 01/02/05; Accepted 01/02/05

This manuscript has been published online, prior to printing for Cell Cycle, Volume 4, Issue 3. Definitive page numbers have not been assigned. The current citation is: Cell Cycle 2005; 4(3):

<http://www.landesbioscience.com/journals/cc/abstract.php?id=1522>

Once the issue is complete and page numbers have been assigned, the citation will change accordingly.

### KEY WORDS

MDM2, HDM2, p53, MDMX, DNA damage, ubiquitination, protein stability, auto-ubiquitination

### ABSTRACT

The p53 tumor suppressor is a transcription factor that is activated by diverse genotoxic and cytotoxic stresses. Upon activation, p53 prevents the proliferation of genetically unstable cells by regulating the expression of genes that initiate cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and DNA repair. Consequently, p53 must be kept inactive in unstressed cells as its inappropriate activation can cause premature senescence and death. p53 inhibition occurs primarily through the E3 ubiquitin ligase, MDM2. Because MDM2 is also a p53 target gene, stresses paradoxically activate p53 while simultaneously increasing MDM2 expression. Therefore, a challenge has been to explain how the abundant MDM2 is prevented from inhibiting p53, thus ensuring that p53 can execute an appropriate stress response. Here we discuss a new mechanism for p53 activation involving DNA damage-induced auto-degradation of MDM2. Our data reveal that DNA damage leads to the destabilization of MDM2, which correlates with p53 stabilization and target gene induction. Conversely, p53 levels and activity decrease when MDM2 returns to a more stable state later in the stress response. The destabilization of MDM2 is required for p53 activation, as blocking MDM2 degradation via proteasome inhibition prevents p53 transactivation in DNA-damaged cells by enabling MDM2 to bind and inhibit p53. MDM2 destabilization is controlled by DNA damage-activated post-translational modifications and by its own RING domain, implying a possible role for the RING domain-interacting protein, MDMX, in regulating MDM2 stability. We propose that accelerated degradation of MDM2 limits its binding to p53 during a stress response and enables p53 to accumulate and remain active, even as p53 transcriptionally activates more *MDM2*. Thus, the induction of *MDM2* RNA by activated p53 may create a reserve of MDM2 that can inactivate p53 once the DNA damage stimulus has abated and MDM2 is restabilized. As many tumors inactivate wild type p53 through MDM2 overexpression, exploiting the pathways that trigger MDM2 auto-degradation may be an important new strategy for chemotherapeutic intervention.

### MDM2 KEEPS p53 INACTIVE IN UNSTRESSED CELLS

Upon activation by various genotoxic or cytotoxic stresses, the p53 tumor suppressor is activated to direct a transcriptional program that prevents the proliferation of genetically unstable cells. Consequently, the results of inappropriate regulation of p53 are dire: the loss of p53 function through mutation, deletion, or constitutive degradation predisposes cells to tumorigenesis, while errant p53 activation can lead to premature senescence or apoptosis. Thus, the appropriate positive and negative regulation of p53 is a life-or-death matter for the cell.

The primary means of negatively regulating p53 is through MDM2. This oncogene was initially discovered in a locus amplified on double minute chromosomes in a tumorigenic mouse cell line.<sup>1</sup> MDM2 overexpression enables primary human fibroblasts expressing E1A and activated ras to form tumors in nude mice, thus MDM2 behaves as a bona fide oncogene.<sup>2</sup> MDM2 plays an important role in the etiology of human cancer as it is amplified or overexpressed in a subset of human tumors expressing wild type p53.<sup>3,4</sup> The importance of MDM2 in the control of p53 is evidenced by the embryonic lethality of *MDM2* knockout mice, which presumably occurs due to rampant p53-dependent apoptosis and consequently can be suppressed by concurrent deletion of *p53*.<sup>5,6</sup>

MDM2 prevents p53-dependent gene expression through diverse mechanisms. It inhibits p53 transactivation by binding and occluding the p53 N-terminal transactivation domain, preventing the interaction of p53 with the basal transcription machinery.<sup>7-9</sup> Various stresses result in the acetylation of p53 by the histone acetyl transferases PCAF and

p300/CBP; however, this too can be blocked by the association of p53 with MDM2.<sup>10-14</sup> In addition to these direct mechanisms of transcriptional inhibition, MDM2 can indirectly inhibit p53-dependent gene expression by ubiquitinating and degrading p53.<sup>15,16</sup> MDM2 is a RING domain-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase, and as such, associates with an E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme to facilitate the catalysis of ubiquitin chains on both p53 and itself.<sup>17-19</sup> Once poly-ubiquitinated, p53 and MDM2 are subject to proteasome-dependent degradation.<sup>20,21</sup> An interesting recent report demonstrates that the ubiquitination activity of MDM2 is not just for degradation: it might also inhibit p53 target gene activation by ubiquitinating histones in p53-responsive promoters.<sup>22</sup> It is unclear whether all of the above mechanisms of p53 inhibition are utilized by MDM2 universally, or whether there might be specific contexts in which some of these mechanisms are preferred. For example, p53 activity is increased in mouse thymocytes expressing decreased levels of MDM2, despite the fact that p53 protein levels are the same as those observed in wild type mice.<sup>23</sup> This suggests that the mechanisms by which MDM2 inhibits p53 may be context-dependent.

Because p53 is a transcription factor, nuclear localization is critical for its activity.<sup>24-26</sup> Thus, it comes as no surprise that some human tumors arise that exclude wild type p53 from the nucleus.<sup>26-29</sup> MDM2 is purported to additionally negatively regulate p53 by inducing its nuclear export, either by binding a nuclear export receptor with its own intrinsic nuclear export signal and escorting p53 through the nuclear pore<sup>30</sup> or by unmasking the nuclear export signal in p53 via a ubiquitination-dependent change in p53 conformation.<sup>31-33</sup> The ensuing change in the subcellular localization of p53 is proposed to enable its degradation by cytoplasmic proteasomes.<sup>34</sup> However, p53 can also be ubiquitinated and degraded in the nucleus.<sup>35-38</sup> Because both p53 and MDM2 are predominantly nuclear in unstressed cells,<sup>38</sup> and because the half-life of p53 is significantly shorter than its rate of nuclear export,<sup>31,38,39</sup> it can be inferred that a significant proportion of p53 degradation occurs in the nucleus.

## MDM2 INHIBITION BY ARF BINDING AND p53 PHOSPHORYLATION IS UNLIKELY TO BE UNIVERSAL

In a stress, p53 not only transcriptionally activates genes involved in cell cycle arrest or apoptosis, but also its own negative regulator, MDM2. Thus, MDM2 and p53 participate in an auto-regulatory feedback loop.<sup>40,41</sup> The *MDM2* gene has two promoters—one that is p53-independent and transcribed at constitutively low levels in unstressed cells and a second that p53 activates under most conditions of stress.<sup>42,43</sup> Consequently, while it has been suggested that some stresses such as transcriptional inhibition activate p53 through the downregulation of MDM2 transcription,<sup>44,45</sup> most stresses result in the significant accumulation of both p53 and MDM2 in the nucleus. Therefore, in order for p53 to induce the appropriate transcriptional response, stressed cells must have mechanisms to mitigate the inhibitory activity of MDM2.

One way by which p53 might be activated despite the presence of high levels of MDM2 is through ARF, the “alternative reading frame” product of the *INK4a/ARF* locus.<sup>46</sup> ARF overexpression results in the inhibition of MDM2 and consequently the stabilization of p53,<sup>47-49</sup> though the precise mechanisms by which this occurs remain controversial.<sup>50</sup> The role of ARF in inhibiting MDM2 is compelling because *ARF* expression is commonly lost in cell lines<sup>48</sup> and in  $\text{E}\mu\text{-myc}$ -driven lymphomas<sup>51</sup> that retain wild type p53, and because accentuated p53-dependent apoptosis in  $\text{E}\mu\text{-myc MDM2}^{+/-}$

B cells is prevented by the loss of one allele of *ARF*.<sup>52</sup> However, the tumor spectrum of *ARF*-null mice is not the same as that observed in mice that are *p53*-null, indicating that *ARF* loss is not an equivalent substitute for loss of *p53*.<sup>53</sup> In addition, the repertoire of stresses that activate ARF is limited: it is induced by oncogenes such as *myc*,<sup>54</sup> *ras*,<sup>55</sup> *E2F1*,<sup>56</sup> and *E1A*,<sup>57</sup> and by senescence in part via the alleviation of negative regulation by *Bmi-1*,<sup>58-61</sup> but ARF is only partially required for the activation of p53 after DNA damage.<sup>62</sup> Moreover, ARF is not required for p53 activation in all tissues, as p53 activity is uncompromised in brain epithelium of *ARF*-null mice.<sup>63</sup> Together, these observations raise questions about the generality of ARF-dependent mechanisms for alleviating the inhibition of p53 by MDM2.

p53 phosphorylation is a second mechanism by which the inhibition of p53 by MDM2 might be alleviated in a stress response. Multiple stresses result in the phosphorylation of p53 on multiple sites in the N-terminus adjacent to and overlapping with the MDM2 binding domain.<sup>64,65</sup> Early studies speculated that these modifications might stabilize and activate p53 by preventing MDM2 binding. However, contradictions between in vitro and in vivo studies as well as the observation that p53 does not have to be phosphorylated to be activated have made the biologically relevant effects of these modifications challenging to discern. In vitro assays of MDM2 association with phosphorylated p53 peptides have come to widely disparate conclusions. For example, various studies show that MDM2 has a reduced affinity for p53 peptides phosphorylated at serines 15,<sup>66,67</sup> 20,<sup>67,68</sup> or 37,<sup>66</sup> or threonine 18.<sup>67,69-71</sup> Other studies show exactly the opposite: that MDM2 can bind p53 peptides phosphorylated at serine 15,<sup>68-74</sup> 20,<sup>69-71,74</sup> or 37,<sup>70,73,74</sup> or threonine 18.<sup>68</sup> Still other studies indicate that combinations of the above modifications are required to inhibit MDM2 binding.<sup>70,72</sup> In contrast with the above reports, full length p53 constructs mutated at multiple phosphorylation sites, either singly or in combination, have no defects in MDM2-dependent degradation or accumulation after stress in transiently transfected cells.<sup>75,76</sup> These widely contrasting findings suggest that in vivo analyses of p53 phosphorylation might provide a more accurate picture of the role of these modifications in mitigating the effects of MDM2 inhibition.

Surprisingly, in vivo studies of p53 phosphorylation site mutants hint at a less profound role for these modifications in p53 activation, as mice expressing endogenous p53 mutated at the murine equivalents of serine 15 or 20 have only partial defects in p53 activity and stability. For example, serine 15 mutant mice have partially attenuated apoptosis in the retina<sup>77</sup> and in thymocytes<sup>78,79</sup> upon exposure to  $\gamma$ -irradiation, though MEFs have no significant defects in cell cycle arrest.<sup>79</sup> The protein levels of endogenous p53 mutated at this site seem to be regulated normally by MDM2: they are low but increase after stress similarly to wild type p53,<sup>78-80</sup> in spite of the fact that this mutation also prevents subsequent phosphorylation at the murine equivalent of threonine 18.<sup>80</sup> Importantly, these mutant mice do not get tumors,<sup>79</sup> an observation contrary to what one might predict if serine 15 and threonine 18 phosphorylation prevented the negative regulation of p53 by MDM2. p53 activity is more seriously perturbed in mice mutated at the murine equivalent of serine 20, though as in the serine 15 mutant mice, the impact of this alteration seems to be tissue-dependent.<sup>81</sup> p53 protein levels in serine 20 mutant MEFs are indistinguishable from wild type, but markedly decreased in thymocytes and the cerebellum.<sup>81</sup> In addition, these mice are tumor-prone but the distribution of these tumors is more limited in these mice than those that are *p53*-null, consistent with a

role for serine 20 phosphorylation in a subset of tissues.<sup>81</sup> These *in vivo* studies suggest that while N-terminal phosphorylation partially prevents the inhibition of p53 by MDM2 in some tissues, additional mechanisms must exist to enable a full p53 response in all tissues.

Studies showing that p53 is not phosphorylated at canonical sites after diverse genotoxic and cytotoxic stresses raise additional questions about the role of these modifications in stabilizing and activating p53. For example, actinomycin D,<sup>82</sup> taxol,<sup>83</sup> nocodazole,<sup>83</sup> and leptomycin B<sup>38</sup> activate p53-dependent gene expression, though none of these treatments lead to p53 phosphorylation at serine 15. In addition, neither actinomycin D nor deferoxamine treatment leads to serine 20 phosphorylation,<sup>82</sup> and threonine 18 phosphorylation is not observed in normal human lymphoblasts treated with multiple stresses.<sup>84</sup> While many other agents do lead to phosphorylation at these sites, the consequences of these modifications is not always clear: we found that p53 is unstable and transcriptionally inactive at early and late times after DNA damage, despite phosphorylation at serine 15.<sup>38</sup> In addition, serine 15 phosphorylated p53 binds MDM2 in DNA-damaged cells as long as they are pretreated with proteasome inhibitors to stabilize MDM2 (see ref. 38 and below). Together, these data suggest that p53 N-terminal phosphorylation might be neither necessary nor sufficient to prevent MDM2 from binding p53 in stressed cells, though they do not rule out a role for these modifications in fine-tuning p53 function, for example, by enabling p53 to bind histone acetyltransferases<sup>73,78,84,85</sup> or by determining p53 promoter choice.<sup>78,79</sup>

## A NEW MECHANISM OF MDM2 INHIBITION: MDM2 AUTO-DEGRADATION

The ubiquitin ligase activity of MDM2 is selective, and therefore has the potential to be subject to differential regulation. MDM2 does not promiscuously degrade all its binding partners, as it can bind ARF,<sup>86</sup> p73,<sup>87,88</sup> E2F,<sup>89</sup> and PML,<sup>90-92</sup> but there is no evidence thus far that any of these serve as substrates for MDM2 ubiquitination. Moreover, auto-ubiquitination of MDM2 is likely to be regulated through mechanisms distinct from p53 ubiquitination, as MDM2 poly-ubiquitinates itself but only mono-ubiquitinates p53 *in vitro*.<sup>93</sup> Because only proteins with ubiquitin chains consisting of at least four ubiquitin moieties are recognized as substrates by the proteasome,<sup>94</sup> an E4 (such as p300<sup>95</sup>) might be necessary to extend ubiquitin chains on p53, but not MDM2, prior to degradation. However, recent evidence indicates that when expressed at high enough levels, MDM2 can poly-ubiquitinate p53 without the assistance of an E4.<sup>96</sup> Nonetheless, there is evidence that the choice of auto-ubiquitination versus substrate ubiquitination can be context dependent. In an elegant experiment performed by Fang et al.,<sup>18</sup> the RING domain of MDM2 was shown to play an important role in substrate selection: substituting this domain for that of an unrelated protein (Praj1) prevented MDM2 from ubiquitinating p53 but did not prevent it from ubiquitinating itself. These observations indicate that the selective auto-ubiquitination of MDM2 might be an important means by which the cell can activate p53.

We recently found that regulated MDM2 auto-degradation is an important mechanism by which p53 is activated in cells treated with DNA damage.<sup>38</sup> The half-life of MDM2 protein decreases in normal human fibroblasts treated with the DNA damaging agents neocarzinostatin (NCS), UV irradiation, and BCNU. We also found that MDM2 destabilization is required for p53 activation. The timing of the DNA damage-dependent decrease in MDM2 half-life coincides

with the peak of p53 stability and transcriptional activity, suggesting that although p53 induces the expression of high levels of *MDM2* RNA in a stress response, the resulting protein might be incapable of associating with and inhibiting p53 because of its rapid rate of turnover. Indeed, when we blocked MDM2 destabilization with proteasome inhibitors, p53 was incapable of transcriptional activation in DNA-damaged cells. This inhibition of p53 activity is most likely due to its increased association with stable MDM2, as p53 target gene induction was restored by concurrent treatment with nutlin, a small molecule that prevents the association of MDM2 with p53.<sup>38,97</sup> Interestingly, the destabilization of MDM2 by DNA damage was reversible: at later times in the DNA damage response, the half-life of MDM2 returned to that in unstressed cells and p53 again became unstable and inactive. This suggests a possible role for p53-dependent transcription of *MDM2* in stressed cells: the increase in *MDM2* RNA enables the production of a reserve of MDM2 with the potential to inhibit p53 later when the stress is alleviated and p53 is no longer needed, thus ensuring the long-term viability of the cell.

Our findings are consistent with previous reports that indicate that subtle changes in MDM2 levels are likely to significantly affect p53 function. For example, MDM2 haploinsufficiency in mice expressing E $\mu$ -myc is sufficient to activate p53, leading to increased apoptosis in spleen and a decrease in lymphomas.<sup>98</sup> In addition, a partial reduction of MDM2 levels *in vivo* leads to increased p53 transcriptional activity, decreased proliferation of MEFs in culture, and increased apoptosis in lymphatic and epithelial tissues in the absence of a stress.<sup>23</sup> More recently, a single nucleotide polymorphism was found in the *MDM2* promoter that enhances its transcription.<sup>99</sup> The increased MDM2 protein generated by this allele is sufficient to decrease the functionality of the p53 pathway, resulting in an acceleration of the onset of tumor formation and an increase in the tumor burden in carriers of this allele.<sup>99</sup> Interestingly, peptides, antibodies, and small molecule inhibitors that prevent MDM2 binding are sufficient to activate p53 dependent gene expression and apoptotic programs in the absence of any stress signals and their associated post-translational modifications.<sup>97,100-104</sup> These findings suggest that the most critical requirement for p53 activation is the abrogation of inhibition by MDM2.

## THE REGULATION OF MDM2 DESTABILIZATION

How might the switch from p53 ubiquitination to MDM2 auto-ubiquitination be controlled? Though more than 1000 publications have been devoted to the study of the 14 phosphorylation sites on p53, MDM2 has at least 19 phosphorylation sites of its own,<sup>105</sup> most of which are of unknown functional consequence. MDM2 is phosphorylated by the DNA-damage activated kinases ATM,<sup>106,107</sup> DNA-PK,<sup>108</sup> ATR,<sup>109</sup> and c-Abl,<sup>110</sup> and it is de-phosphorylated at multiple sites after  $\gamma$ -irradiation.<sup>111</sup> Because NCS activates ATM,<sup>112</sup> which in turn can phosphorylate MDM2,<sup>106,107</sup> we asked whether ATM controls MDM2 destabilization. We observed that mutating the ATM phosphorylation site only partially prevents the destabilization of MDM2 in NCS-treated transfected cells,<sup>38</sup> and the half-life of MDM2 only partly decreases in NCS-treated *ATM* mutant fibroblasts (J. Stommel, unpublished observation). Because MDM2 destabilization is completely inhibited by wortmannin,<sup>38</sup> we conclude that this process is likely to be controlled by phosphorylation at multiple sites and by multiple DNA damage-activated kinases of the PI 3-kinase family, such as ATM or ATR.<sup>113</sup> MDM2

phosphorylation is likely to play a significant role in determining the activity of p53 through the control of MDM2 stability.<sup>38</sup>

In addition to phosphorylation, we found that MDM2 destabilization requires its intrinsic ubiquitin ligase activity, as a construct with a dysfunctional RING domain fails to become unstable after DNA damage.<sup>38</sup> This is an especially intriguing finding in light of a prior observation that the MDM2 RING domain plays an important role in ubiquitination substrate choice.<sup>18</sup> The switch from auto- to p53 ubiquitination by MDM2 might involve post-translational modification of the RING domain. For example, acetylation of this domain appears to inhibit the ubiquitin ligase activity of MDM2, though this seems to effect the ubiquitination of both p53 and MDM2.<sup>114</sup> The RING domain also binds ATP, though the functional consequences of this are uncertain as some MDM2 mutants that cannot bind ATP block p53 degradation and MDM2 ubiquitination, while others enhance both.<sup>115</sup>

The RING domain also binds accessory proteins that might contribute to the regulation of ubiquitination. MDMX might be the most interesting candidate for this role. MDMX was initially discovered as a p53-binding protein with significant homology to MDM2, though unlike its namesake, the *MDMX* gene is not transcriptionally activated by p53 in stressed cells.<sup>116</sup> MDMX binds p53 through a domain similar to that of MDM2,<sup>69,117,118</sup> and it has a RING domain through which it binds MDM2.<sup>119,120</sup> However, in contrast with MDM2, MDMX is missing a critical cysteine in its RING domain, which precludes it from acting as a ubiquitin ligase.<sup>121-125</sup> Early reports concluded that MDMX opposes MDM2 by binding and stabilizing p53,<sup>117,119,126</sup> which seemed very reasonable in light of the inactive RING domain of MDMX. Consequently, it came as a surprise that like MDM2, MDMX knockout mice die as embryos, and this lethality can be rescued by concurrent p53 deletion.<sup>127-129</sup> Together, the genetic data were more consistent with a role for MDMX as a negative regulator of p53. Later molecular evidence supported the genetics, showing that MDMX binds MDM2 and enhances its ability to ubiquitinate and degrade p53.<sup>122,130</sup> Moreover, siRNA to MDMX results in increased p53 protein abundance and activity.<sup>122,124</sup> Thus, MDM2 and MDMX behave in a manner similar to the ubiquitin ligase pair, BRCA1 and BARD1: BRCA1 alone has weak ubiquitin ligase activity and BARD1 has none, but as a RING-RING heterodimer, the two are more potent.<sup>131,132</sup>

The apparent inconsistencies between the earlier work showing that p53 is stabilized by MDMX and the later work showing that MDMX enhances MDM2 ubiquitin ligase activity are clarified by the discovery of two limitations of the in vitro systems used to study MDMX. First, many studies used C-terminally tagged MDMX, but this construct does not behave like the untagged counterpart.<sup>133</sup> Second, Gu et al. performed a careful titration of MDMX levels in cotransfections with MDM2 and found that at low levels MDMX cooperates with MDM2 in degrading p53, but at high levels it stabilizes p53.<sup>122</sup> Because MDMX homodimers can bind the p53 transactivation domain, it is likely that at supraphysiological levels MDMX homodimers are formed that have no ubiquitin ligase activity. These MDMX homodimers should compete with the more active MDM2 homodimers or MDM2-MDMX heterodimers for p53 binding, thereby stabilizing p53. Conversely, at physiological MDMX levels, MDMX-MDM2 heterodimers might be the predominant species, resulting in accentuated p53 degradation. Therefore, studies employing overexpression protocols are likely to give conflicting (and possibly artifactual) results depending on the extent of MDMX overexpression.

Together, these data raise the intriguing possibility that MDMX could switch the ubiquitin ligase activity of MDM2 away from MDM2 and toward p53. Perhaps MDM2 is stabilized by binding a partner with no ubiquitin ligase activity, and consequently has enough time to bind and inhibit p53. Interestingly, DNA damage and ARF overexpression lead to the degradation of MDMX by MDM2.<sup>124,133</sup> It is tempting to speculate that by decreasing MDMX levels in a stress, the abundance of MDM2 homodimers is increased, and that this species has more intrinsic ubiquitin ligase activity toward itself than to p53, leading to its enhanced degradation and resultant p53 degradation. Further experiments are required to test this possibility.

A number of recent reports suggest that the choice of whether MDM2 or p53 is degraded might also occur downstream of ubiquitination, perhaps through selective de-ubiquitination or by regulating access to the proteasome. For example, the ubiquitin hydrolase HAUSP has been implicated in regulating the stability of both p53<sup>134</sup> and MDM2<sup>135,136</sup> by de-ubiquitinating each of these proteins under different experimental conditions. The acidic domain of MDM2 might also be involved in determining whether ubiquitinated p53 is degraded, as deleting parts of this domain prevents the degradation of ubiquitinated p53.<sup>137-140</sup> It may be that this deletion prevents the extension of ubiquitin chains that enable efficient recognition of substrates by the proteasome, as this domain binds p300,<sup>137</sup> a protein that can act as a p53 E4 ubiquitin ligase in vitro.<sup>95</sup> Conversely, transfected p300 stabilizes MDM2,<sup>141</sup> which suggests that p300 might play an important role in switching the ubiquitin ligase activity of MDM2 away from itself and toward p53. The MDM2 acidic domain deletion mutants might also be incapable of targeting ubiquitinated p53 to the proteasome, perhaps due to a defect in binding hHR23A, the human homologue of *S. cerevisiae* Rad23.<sup>142</sup> This protein, known for its role in DNA repair, can act as a bridge between the proteasome and a ubiquitinated substrate.<sup>143,144</sup> When bound to MDM2, hHR23A enhances the degradation of ubiquitinated p53, though its impact on MDM2 degradation is unclear.<sup>142,145</sup> Interestingly, hHR23A binds in a region of MDM2 that is dephosphorylated in cells treated with  $\gamma$ -irradiation,<sup>111</sup> so it is tempting to speculate that DNA damage stabilizes p53, in part, through preventing the interaction of MDM2 with hHR23A.

## A NEW MODEL FOR THE REGULATION OF p53 THROUGH MDM2 AUTO-DEGRADATION

In conclusion, the control of MDM2 auto-degradation, both by its mitigation in unstressed cells and its augmentation in stressed cells, is likely to play a critical role in the appropriate regulation of p53 activity. By rapidly degrading MDM2, the cell can ensure that p53 can be active despite the high level of newly synthesized nuclear MDM2 that is induced by p53 in a stress. In addition, the differential control of MDM2 stability along with the stress-dependent increase in *MDM2* transcription enables the creation of a reserve of MDM2 protein that, once restabilized, can rid the cell of the high levels of p53 that accumulate during the stress response. Many types of human tumors inactivate p53 by overexpressing MDM2, including 50% of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemias, one-third of sarcomas, 20% of nonHodgkin's lymphomas, and 10% of malignant gliomas.<sup>146</sup> MDM2 overexpression in these tumors correlates with poor prognosis and lethality. Proteasome inhibitors have shown promise as chemotherapeutic agents,<sup>147</sup> and while it is tempting to speculate that these might work well in tumors that overexpress

MDM2, our data show that the stabilization of MDM2 should hinder the efficacy of these drugs in this subset of tumors.<sup>38</sup> Therefore, targeting the switch between MDM2 auto-ubiquitination and p53 ubiquitination might represent an important new point of exploration for novel chemotherapeutic agents.

## References

- Fakharzadeh SS, Trusko SP, George DL. Tumorigenic potential associated with enhanced expression of a gene that is amplified in a mouse tumor cell line. *EMBO J* 1991; 10:1565-9.
- Seger YR, Garcia-Cao M, Piccinin S, Cunsolo CL, Doglioni C, Blasco MA, Hannon GJ, Maestro R. Transformation of normal human cells in the absence of telomerase activation. *Cancer Cell* 2002; 2:401-13.
- Oliner JD, Kinzler KW, Meltzer PS, George DL, Vogelstein B. Amplification of a gene encoding a p53-associated protein in human sarcomas. *Nature* 1992; 358:80-3.
- Momand J, Jung D, Wilczynski S, Niland J. The *MDM2* gene amplification database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1998; 26:3453-9.
- Jones SN, Roe AE, Donehower LA, Bradley A. Rescue of embryonic lethality in *Mdm2*-deficient mice by absence of p53. *Nature* 1995; 378:206-8.
- Montes de Oca Luna R, Wagner DS, Lozano G. Rescue of early embryonic lethality in *mdm2*-deficient mice by deletion of p53. *Nature* 1995; 378:203-6.
- Momand J, Zambetti GP, Olson DC, George D, Levine AJ. The *mdm-2* oncogene product forms a complex with the p53 protein and inhibits p53-mediated transactivation. *Cell* 1992; 69:1237-45.
- Chen J, Marechal V, Levine AJ. Mapping of the p53 and *mdm-2* interaction domains. *Mol Cell Biol* 1993; 13:4107-14.
- Oliner JD, Pietenpol JA, Thiagalingam S, Gyuris J, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Oncoprotein MDM2 conceals the activation domain of tumour suppressor p53. *Nature* 1993; 362:857-60.
- Gu W, Roeder RG. Activation of p53 sequence-specific DNA binding by acetylation of the p53 C-terminal domain. *Cell* 1997; 90:595-606.
- Sakaguchi K, Herrera JE, Saito S, Miki T, Bustin M, Vassilev A, Anderson CW, Appella E. DNA damage activates p53 through a phosphorylation-acetylation cascade. *Genes Dev* 1998; 12:2831-41.
- Kobet E, Zeng X, Zhu Y, Keller D, Lu H. MDM2 inhibits p300-mediated p53 acetylation and activation by forming a ternary complex with the two proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000; 97:12547-52.
- Ito A, Lai CH, Zhao X, Saito S, Hamilton MH, Appella E, Yao TP. p300/CBP-mediated p53 acetylation is commonly induced by p53-activating agents and inhibited by MDM2. *EMBO J* 2001; 20:1331-40.
- Jin Y, Zeng SX, Dai MS, Yang XJ, Lu H. MDM2 inhibits PCAF (p300/CREB-binding protein-associated factor)-mediated p53 acetylation. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277:30838-43.
- Haupt Y, Maya R, Kazan A, Oren M. Mdm2 promotes the rapid degradation of p53. *Nature* 1997; 387:296-9.
- Kubbutat MH, Jones SN, Vousden KH. Regulation of p53 stability by Mdm2. *Nature* 1997; 387:299-303.
- Honda R, Tanaka H, Yasuda H. Oncoprotein MDM2 is a ubiquitin ligase E3 for tumor suppressor p53. *FEBS Lett* 1997; 420:25-7.
- Fang S, Jensen JP, Ludwig RL, Vousden KH, Weissman AM. Mdm2 is a RING finger-dependent ubiquitin protein ligase for itself and p53. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275:8945-51.
- Honda R, Yasuda H. Activity of MDM2, a ubiquitin ligase, toward p53 or itself is dependent on the RING finger domain of the ligase. *Oncogene* 2000; 19:1473-6.
- Maki CG, Huibregtse JM, Howley PM. In vivo ubiquitination and proteasome-mediated degradation of p53. *Cancer Res* 1996; 56:2649-54.
- Chang YC, Lee YS, Tejima T, Tanaka K, Omura S, Heintz NH, Mitsui Y, Magae J. *mdm2* and *bax*, downstream mediators of the p53 response, are degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *Cell Growth Differ* 1998; 9:79-84.
- Minsky N, Oren M. The RING domain of Mdm2 mediates histone ubiquitylation and transcriptional repression. *Mol Cell* 2004; 16:631-9.
- Mendrysa SM, McElwee MK, Michalowski J, O'Leary KA, Young KM, Perry ME. *mdm2* is critical for inhibition of p53 during lymphopoiesis and the response to ionizing irradiation. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003; 23:462-72.
- Shaulsky G, Goldfinger N, Peled A, Rotter V. Involvement of wild-type p53 protein in the cell cycle requires nuclear localization. *Cell Growth Differ* 1991; 2:661-7.
- Shaulsky G, Goldfinger N, Tosky MS, Levine AJ, Rotter V. Nuclear localization is essential for the activity of p53 protein. *Oncogene* 1991; 6:2055-65.
- Moll UM, LaQuaglia M, Benard J, Riou G. Wild-type p53 protein undergoes cytoplasmic sequestration in undifferentiated neuroblastomas but not in differentiated tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995; 92:4407-11.
- Sun XF, Carstensen JM, Zhang H, Stal O, Wingren S, Hatschek T, Nordenskjold B. Prognostic significance of cytoplasmic p53 oncoprotein in colorectal adenocarcinoma. *Lancet* 1992; 340:1369-73.
- Stenmark-Askmal M, Stal O, Sullivan S, Ferraud L, Sun XF, Carstensen J, Nordenskjold B. Cellular accumulation of p53 protein: An independent prognostic factor in stage II breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1994; 2:175-80.
- Schlamp CL, Poulsen GL, Nork TM, Nickells RW. Nuclear exclusion of wild-type p53 in immortalized human retinoblastoma cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997; 89:1530-6.
- Roth J, Dobbstein M, Freedman DA, Shenk T, Levine AJ. Nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling of the *hdm2* oncoprotein regulates the levels of the p53 protein via a pathway used by the human immunodeficiency virus rev protein. *EMBO J* 1998; 17:554-64.
- Stommel JM, Marchenko ND, Jimenez GS, Moll UM, Hope TJ, Wahl GM. A leucine-rich nuclear export signal in the p53 tetramerization domain: Regulation of subcellular localization and p53 activity by NES masking. *EMBO J* 1999; 18:1660-72.
- Boyd SD, Tsai KY, Jacks T. An intact HDM2 RING-finger domain is required for nuclear exclusion of p53. *Nat Cell Biol* 2000; 2:563-8.
- Geyer RK, Yu ZK, Maki CG. The MDM2 RING-finger domain is required to promote p53 nuclear export. *Nat Cell Biol* 2000; 2:569-73.
- Freedman DA, Levine AJ. Nuclear export is required for degradation of endogenous p53 by MDM2 and human papillomavirus E6. *Mol Cell Biol* 1998; 18:7288-93.
- Lohrum MA, Woods DB, Ludwig RL, Balint E, Vousden KH. C-terminal ubiquitination of p53 contributes to nuclear export. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001; 21:8521-32.
- Xirodimas DP, Stephen CW, Lane DP. Cocompartmentalization of p53 and Mdm2 is a major determinant for Mdm2-mediated degradation of p53. *Exp Cell Res* 2001; 270:66-77.
- Shirangi TR, Zaika A, Moll UM. Nuclear degradation of p53 occurs during downregulation of the p53 response after DNA damage. *FASEB J* 2002; 16:420-2.
- Stommel JM, Wahl GM. Accelerated MDM2 auto-degradation induced by DNA-damage kinases is required for p53 activation. *EMBO J* 2004; 23:1547-56.
- Henderson BR, Eleftheriou A. A comparison of the activity, sequence specificity, and CRM1-dependence of different nuclear export signals. *Exp Cell Res* 2000; 256:213-24.
- Perry ME, Piette J, Zawadzki JA, Harvey D, Levine AJ. The *mdm-2* gene is induced in response to UV light in a p53-dependent manner. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1993; 90:11623-7.
- Wu X, Bayle JH, Olson D, Levine AJ. The p53-mdm-2 autoregulatory feedback loop. *Genes Dev* 1993; 7:1126-32.
- Juven T, Barak Y, Zauberman A, George DL, Oren M. Wild type p53 can mediate sequence-specific transactivation of an internal promoter within the *mdm2* gene. *Oncogene* 1993; 8:3411-6.
- Mendrysa SM, Perry ME. The p53 tumor suppressor protein does not regulate expression of its own inhibitor, MDM2, except under conditions of stress. *Mol Cell Biol* 2000; 20:2023-30.
- Blagosklonny MV, Demidenko ZN, Fojo T. Inhibition of transcription results in accumulation of Wt p53 followed by delayed outburst of p53-inducible proteins: p53 as a sensor of transcriptional integrity. *Cell Cycle* 2002; 1:67-74.
- Demidenko ZN, Blagosklonny MV. Flavopiridol induces p53 via initial inhibition of Mdm2 and p21 and, independently of p53, sensitizes apoptosis-reluctant cells to tumor necrosis factor. *Cancer Res* 2004; 64:3653-60.
- Quelle DE, Zindy F, Ashmun RA, Sherr CJ. Alternative reading frames of the INK4a tumor suppressor gene encode two unrelated proteins capable of inducing cell cycle arrest. *Cell* 1995; 83:993-1000.
- Pomerantz J, Schreiber-Agus N, Liegeois NJ, Silverman A, Alland L, Chin L, Potes J, Chen K, Orlov I, Lee HW, Cordon-Cardo C, DePinho RA. The Ink4a tumor suppressor gene product, p19Arf, interacts with MDM2 and neutralizes MDM2's inhibition of p53. *Cell* 1998; 92:713-23.
- Stott FJ, Bates S, James MC, McConnell BB, Starborg M, Brookes S, Palmero I, Ryan K, Hara E, Vousden KH, Peters G. The alternative product from the human CDKN2A locus, p14<sup>ARF</sup>, participates in a regulatory feedback loop with p53 and MDM2. *EMBO J* 1998; 17:5001-14.
- Weber JD, Taylor LJ, Roussel MF, Sherr CJ, Bar-Sagi D. Nucleolar Arf sequesters Mdm2 and activates p53. *Nat Cell Biol* 1999; 1:20-6.
- Michael D, Oren M. The p53-Mdm2 module and the ubiquitin system. *Semin Cancer Biol* 2003; 13:49-58.
- Eischen CM, Weber JD, Roussel MF, Sherr CJ, Cleveland JL. Disruption of the ARF-Mdm2-p53 tumor suppressor pathway in Myc-induced lymphomagenesis. *Genes Dev* 1999; 13:2658-69.
- Eischen CM, Alt JR, Wang P. Loss of one allele of ARF rescues Mdm2 haploinsufficiency effects on apoptosis and lymphoma development. *Oncogene* 2004; 23:8931-40.
- Kamijo T, Bodner S, van de Kamp E, Randle DH, Sherr CJ. Tumor spectrum in ARF-deficient mice. *Cancer Res* 1999; 59:2217-22.
- Zindy F, Eischen CM, Randle DH, Kamijo T, Cleveland JL, Sherr CJ, Roussel MF. Myc signaling via the ARF tumor suppressor regulates p53-dependent apoptosis and immortalization. *Genes Dev* 1998; 12:2424-33.
- Palmero I, Pantoja C, Serrano M. p19ARF links the tumour suppressor p53 to Ras. *Nature* 1998; 395:125-6.
- Bates S, Phillips AC, Clark PA, Stott F, Peters G, Ludwig RL, Vousden KH. p14ARF links the tumour suppressors RB and p53. *Nature* 1998; 395:124-5.
- de Stanchina E, McCurrach ME, Zindy F, Shieh SY, Ferbeyre G, Samuelson AV, Prives C, Roussel MF, Sherr CJ, Lowe SW. E1A signaling to p53 involves the p19<sup>ARF</sup> tumor suppressor. *Genes Dev* 1998; 12:2434-42.
- Jacobs JJ, Kieboom K, Marino S, DePinho RA, van Lohuizen M. The oncogene and Polycomb-group gene *bmi-1* regulates cell proliferation and senescence through the ink4a locus. *Nature* 1999; 397:164-8.
- Carnero A, Hudson JD, Price CM, Beach DH. p16INK4A and p19ARF act in overlapping pathways in cellular immortalization. *Nat Cell Biol* 2000; 2:148-55.
- Groth A, Weber JD, Willumsen BM, Sherr CJ, Roussel MF. Oncogenic Ras induces p19ARF and growth arrest in mouse embryo fibroblasts lacking p21Cip1 and p27Kip1 without activating cyclin D-dependent kinases. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275:27473-80.

61. Wei W, Hemmer RM, Sedivy JM. Role of p14<sup>ARF</sup> in replicative and induced senescence of human fibroblasts. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001; 21:6748-57.
62. Khan SH, Moritsugu J, Wahl GM. Differential requirement for p19ARF in the p53-dependent arrest induced by DNA damage, microtubule disruption, and ribonucleotide depletion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000; 97:3266-71.
63. Tolbert D, Lu X, Yin C, Tantama M, Van Dyke T. p19<sup>ARF</sup> is dispensable for oncogenic stress-induced p53-mediated apoptosis and tumor suppression in vivo. *Mol Cell Biol* 2002; 22:370-7.
64. Appella E, Anderson CW. Post-translational modifications and activation of p53 by genotoxic stresses. *Eur J Biochem* 2001; 268:2764-72.
65. Wahl GM, Carr AM. The evolution of diverse biological responses to DNA damage: Insights from yeast and p53. *Nat Cell Biol* 2001; 3:E277-86.
66. Shieh SY, Ikeda M, Taya Y, Prives C. DNA damage-induced phosphorylation of p53 alleviates inhibition by MDM2. *Cell* 1997; 91:325-34.
67. Craig AL, Burch L, Vojtesek B, Mikutowska J, Thompson A, Hupp TR. Novel phosphorylation sites of human tumour suppressor protein p53 at Ser20 and Thr18 that disrupt the binding of mdm2 (mouse double minute 2) protein are modified in human cancers. *Biochem J* 1999; 342:133-41.
68. Chehab NH, Malikzay A, Stavridi ES, Halazonetis TD. Phosphorylation of Ser-20 mediates stabilization of human p53 in response to DNA damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999; 96:13777-82.
69. Bottger V, Bottger A, Garcia-Echeverria C, Ramos YF, van der Eb AJ, Jochemsen AG, Lane DP. Comparative study of the p53-mdm2 and p53-MDMX interfaces. *Oncogene* 1999; 18:189-99.
70. Sakaguchi K, Saito S, Higashimoto Y, Roy S, Anderson CW, Appella E. Damage-mediated phosphorylation of human p53 threonine 18 through a cascade mediated by a casein 1-like kinase. Effect on Mdm2 binding. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275:9278-83.
71. Schon O, Friedler A, Bycroft M, Freund SM, Fersht AR. Molecular mechanism of the interaction between MDM2 and p53. *J Mol Biol* 2002; 323:491-501.
72. Pisce-Masison CA, Radonovich M, Sakaguchi K, Appella E, Brady JN. Phosphorylation of p53: A novel pathway for p53 inactivation in human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1-transformed cells. *J Virol* 1998; 72:6348-55.
73. Dumaz N, Meek DW. Serine15 phosphorylation stimulates p53 transactivation but does not directly influence interaction with HDM2. *EMBO J* 1999; 18:7002-10.
74. Kane SA, Fleener CA, Zhang YS, Davis LJ, Musselman AL, Huang PS. Development of a binding assay for p53/HDM2 by using homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence. *Anal Biochem* 2000; 278:29-38.
75. Ashcroft M, Kubbutat MH, Vousden KH. Regulation of p53 function and stability by phosphorylation. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999; 19:1751-8.
76. Blattner C, Tobiasch E, Litfen M, Rahmsdorf HJ, Herrlich P. DNA damage induced p53 stabilization: No indication for an involvement of p53 phosphorylation. *Oncogene* 1999; 18:1723-32.
77. Borges HL, Chao C, Xu Y, Linden R, Wang JY. Radiation-induced apoptosis in developing mouse retina exhibits dose-dependent requirement for ATM phosphorylation of p53. *Cell Death Differ* 2004; 11:494-502.
78. Chao C, Hergenbahn M, Kaiser MD, Wu Z, Saito S, Iggo R, Hollstein M, Appella E, Xu Y. Cell type- and promoter-specific roles of Ser18 phosphorylation in regulating p53 responses. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:41028-33.
79. Sluss HK, Armata H, Gallant J, Jones SN. Phosphorylation of serine 18 regulates distinct p53 functions in mice. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004; 24:976-84.
80. Saito S, Yamaguchi H, Higashimoto Y, Chao C, Xu Y, Fornace Jr AJ, Appella E, Anderson CW. Phosphorylation site interdependence of human p53 post-translational modifications in response to stress. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:37536-44.
81. MacPherson D, Kim J, Kim T, Rhee BK, Van Oostrom CT, DiTullio RA, Venere M, Halazonetis TD, Bronson R, De Vries A, Fleming M, Jacks T. Defective apoptosis and B-cell lymphomas in mice with p53 point mutation at Ser 23. *EMBO J* 2004; 23:3689-99.
82. Ashcroft M, Taya Y, Vousden KH. Stress signals utilize multiple pathways to stabilize p53. *Mol Cell Biol* 2000; 20:3224-33.
83. Stewart ZA, Tang LJ, Pietsenpol JA. Increased p53 phosphorylation after microtubule disruption is mediated in a microtubule inhibitor- and cell-specific manner. *Oncogene* 2001; 20:113-24.
84. Saito S, Goodarzi AA, Higashimoto Y, Noda Y, Lees-Miller SP, Appella E, Anderson CW. ATM mediates phosphorylation at multiple p53 sites, including Ser(46), in response to ionizing radiation. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277:12491-4.
85. Lambert PF, Kashanchi F, Radonovich MF, Shiekhattar R, Brady JN. Phosphorylation of p53 serine 15 increases interaction with CBP. *J Biol Chem* 1998; 273:33048-53.
86. Kuo ML, Den Besten W, Bertwistle D, Roussel MF, Sherr CJ. N-terminal polyubiquitination and degradation of the Arf tumor suppressor. *Genes Dev* 2004; 18:1862-74.
87. Balint E, Bates S, Vousden KH. Mdm2 binds p73 alpha without targeting degradation. *Oncogene* 1999; 18:3923-9.
88. Zeng X, Chen L, Jost CA, Maya R, Keller D, Wang X, Kaelin Jr WG, Oren M, Chen J, Lu H. MDM2 suppresses p73 function without promoting p73 degradation. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999; 19:3257-66.
89. Martin K, Trouche D, Hagemeyer C, Sorensen TS, La Thangue NB, Kouzarides T. Stimulation of E2F1/DP1 transcriptional activity by MDM2 oncoprotein. *Nature* 1995; 375:691-4.
90. Louria-Hayon I, Grossman T, Sionov RV, Alsheich O, Pandolfi PP, Haupt Y. The promyelocytic leukemia protein protects p53 from Mdm2-mediated inhibition and degradation. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:33134-41.
91. Wei X, Yu ZK, Ramalingam A, Grossman SR, Yu JH, Bloch DB, Maki CG. Physical and functional interactions between PML and MDM2. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:29288-97.
92. Zhu H, Wu L, Maki CG. MDM2 and promyelocytic leukemia antagonize each other through their direct interaction with p53. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:49286-92.
93. Lai Z, Ferry KV, Diamond MA, Wee KE, Kim YB, Ma J, Yang T, Benfield PA, Copeland RA, Auger KR. Human mdm2 mediates multiple mono-ubiquitination of p53 by a mechanism requiring enzyme isomerization. *J Biol Chem* 2001; 276:31357-67.
94. Thrower JS, Hoffman L, Rechsteiner M, Pickart CM. Recognition of the polyubiquitin proteolytic signal. *EMBO J* 2000; 19:94-102.
95. Grossman SR, Deato ME, Brignone C, Chan HM, Kung AL, Tagami H, Nakatani Y, Livingston DM. Polyubiquitination of p53 by a ubiquitin ligase activity of p300. *Science* 2003; 300:342-4.
96. Li M, Brooks CL, Wu-Baer F, Chen D, Baer R, Gu W. Mono- versus polyubiquitination: Differential control of p53 fate by Mdm2. *Science* 2003; 302:1972-5.
97. Vassilev LT, Vu BT, Graves B, Carvajal D, Podlaski F, Filipovic Z, Kong N, Kammlott U, Lukacs K, Klein C, Fotouhi N, Liu EA. In Vivo Activation of the p53 pathway by small-molecule antagonists of MDM2. *Science* 2004; 303:844-8.
98. Alt JR, Greiner TC, Cleveland JL, Eischen CM. Mdm2 haplo-insufficiency profoundly inhibits Myc-induced lymphomagenesis. *EMBO J* 2003; 22:1442-50.
99. Bond GL, Hu W, Bond EE, Robins H, Lutzker SG, Arva NC, Bargonetti J, Bartel F, Taubert H, Wuerl P, Onel K, Yip L, Hwang SJ, Strong LC, Lozano G, Levine AJ. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the MDM2 promoter attenuates the p53 tumor suppressor pathway and accelerates tumor formation in humans. *Cell* 2004; 119:591-602.
100. Bottger A, Bottger V, Sparks A, Liu WL, Howard SF, Lane DP. Design of a synthetic Mdm2-binding mini protein that activates the p53 response in vivo. *Curr Biol* 1997; 7:860-9.
101. Blaydes JP, Wynford-Thomas D. The proliferation of normal human fibroblasts is dependent upon negative regulation of p53 function by mdm2. *Oncogene* 1998; 16:3317-22.
102. Wasyluk C, Salvi R, Argentini M, Dureuil C, Delumeau I, Abecassis J, Debussche L, Wasyluk B. p53 mediated death of cells overexpressing MDM2 by an inhibitor of MDM2 interaction with p53. *Oncogene* 1999; 18:1921-34.
103. Issaeva N, Bozko P, Enge M, Protopopova M, Verhoeff LG, Masucci M, Pramanik A, Selivanova G. Small molecule RITA binds to p53, blocks p53-HDM2 interaction and activates p53 function in tumors. *Nat Med* 2004; 10:1321-8.
104. Thompson T, Tovar C, Yang H, Carvajal D, Vu BT, Xu Q, Wahl GM, Heimbrook DC, Vassilev LT. Phosphorylation of p53 on key serines is dispensable for transcriptional activation and apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* 2004; 279:53015-22.
105. Meek DW, Knippschild U. Posttranslational modification of MDM2. *Mol Cancer Res* 2003; 1:1017-26.
106. de Toledo SM, Azzam EI, Dahlberg WK, Gooding TB, Little JB. ATM complexes with HDM2 and promotes its rapid phosphorylation in a p53-independent manner in normal and tumor human cells exposed to ionizing radiation. *Oncogene* 2000; 19:6185-93.
107. Maya R, Balass M, Kim ST, Shkedy D, Leal JF, Shifman O, Moas M, Buschmann T, Ronai Z, Shiloh Y, Kastan MB, Katzir E, Oren M. ATM-dependent phosphorylation of Mdm2 on serine 395: Role in p53 activation by DNA damage. *Genes Dev* 2001; 15:1067-77.
108. Mayo LD, Turchi JJ, Berberich SJ. Mdm-2 phosphorylation by DNA-dependent protein kinase prevents interaction with p53. *Cancer Res* 1997; 57:5013-6.
109. Shinozaki T, Nota A, Taya Y, Okamoto K. Functional role of Mdm2 phosphorylation by ATR in attenuation of p53 nuclear export. *Oncogene* 2003; 22:8870-80.
110. Goldberg Z, Vogt Sionov R, Berger M, Zwang Y, Perets R, Van Etten RA, Oren M, Taya Y, Haupt Y. Tyrosine phosphorylation of Mdm2 by c-Abl: Implications for p53 regulation. *EMBO J* 2002; 21:3715-27.
111. Blattner C, Hay T, Meek DW, Lane DP. Hypophosphorylation of Mdm2 augments p53 stability. *Mol Cell Biol* 2002; 22:6170-82.
112. Banin S, Moyal L, Shieh S, Taya Y, Anderson CW, Chessa L, Smorodinsky NI, Prives C, Reiss Y, Shiloh Y, Ziv Y. Enhanced phosphorylation of p53 by ATM in response to DNA damage. *Science* 1998; 281:1674-7.
113. Sarkaria JN, Tibbetts RS, Busby EC, Kennedy AP, Hill DE, Abraham RT. Inhibition of phosphoinositide 3-kinase related kinases by the radiosensitizing agent wortmannin. *Cancer Res* 1998; 58:4375-82.
114. Wang X, Taplick J, Geva N, Oren M. Inhibition of p53 degradation by Mdm2 acetylation. *FEBS Lett* 2004; 561:195-201.
115. Poyurovsky MV, Jacq X, Ma C, Karmi-Schmidt O, Parker PJ, Chalfie M, Manley JL, Prives C. Nucleotide binding by the Mdm2 RING domain facilitates Arf-independent Mdm2 nucleolar localization. *Mol Cell* 2003; 12:875-87.
116. Shvarts A, Steegenga WT, Riteco N, van Laar T, Dekker P, Bazuine M, van Ham RC, van der Houven van Oordt W, Hateboer G, van der Eb AJ, Jochemsen AG. MDMX: A novel p53-binding protein with some functional properties of MDM2. *EMBO J* 1996; 15:5349-57.
117. Stad R, Ramos YF, Little N, Grivell S, Attema J, van Der Eb AJ, Jochemsen AG. Hdmx stabilizes Mdm2 and p53. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275:28039-44.
118. Wang X, Arooz T, Siu WY, Chiu CH, Lau A, Yamashita K, Poon RY. MDM2 and MDMX can interact differently with ARF and members of the p53 family. *FEBS Lett* 2001; 490:202-8.
119. Sharp DA, Kratowicz SA, Sank MJ, George DL. Stabilization of the MDM2 oncoprotein by interaction with the structurally related MDMX protein. *J Biol Chem* 1999; 274:38189-96.

120. Tanimura S, Ohtsuka S, Mitsui K, Shirouzu K, Yoshimura A, Ohtsubo M. MDM2 interacts with MDMX through their RING finger domains. *FEBS Lett* 1999; 447:5-9.
121. Stad R, Little NA, Xirodimas DP, Frenk R, van der Eb AJ, Lane DP, Saville MK, Jochemsen AG. MDMX stabilizes p53 and Mdm2 via two distinct mechanisms. *EMBO Rep* 2001; 2:1029-34.
122. Gu J, Kawai H, Nie L, Kitao H, Wiederschain D, Jochemsen AG, Parant J, Lozano G, Yuan ZM. Mutual dependence of MDM2 and MDMX in their functional inactivation of p53. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277:19251-4.
123. de Graaf P, Little NA, Ramos YF, Meulmeester E, Letteboer SJ, Jochemsen AG. Hdmx protein stability is regulated by the ubiquitin ligase activity of Mdm2. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:38315-24.
124. Kawai H, Wiederschain D, Kitao H, Stuart J, Tsai KK, Yuan ZM. DNA damage-induced MDMX degradation is mediated by MDM2. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:45946-53.
125. Kawai H, Wiederschain D, Yuan ZM. Critical contribution of the MDM2 acidic domain to p53 ubiquitination. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003; 23:4939-47.
126. Jackson MW, Berberich SJ. MDMX protects p53 from Mdm2-mediated degradation. *Mol Cell Biol* 2000; 20:1001-7.
127. Parant J, Chavez-Reyes A, Little NA, Yan W, Reinke V, Jochemsen AG, Lozano G. Rescue of embryonic lethality in Mdm4-null mice by loss of Trp53 suggests a nonoverlapping pathway with MDM2 to regulate p53. *Nat Genet* 2001; 29:92-5.
128. Finch RA, Donoviel DB, Potter D, Shi M, Fan A, Freed DD, Wang CY, Zambrowicz BP, Ramirez-Solis R, Sands AT, Zhang N. MDMX is a negative regulator of p53 activity in vivo. *Cancer Res* 2002; 62:3221-5.
129. Migliorini D, Denchi EL, Danovi D, Jochemsen A, Capillo M, Gobbi A, Helin K, Pelicci PG, Marine JC. Mdm4 (MDMX) regulates p53-induced growth arrest and neuronal cell death during early embryonic mouse development. *Mol Cell Biol* 2002; 22:5527-38.
130. Linares LK, Hengstermann A, Ciechanover A, Muller S, Scheffner M. HdmX stimulates Hdm2-mediated ubiquitination and degradation of p53. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003; 100:12009-14.
131. Hashizume R, Fukuda M, Maeda I, Nishikawa H, Oyake D, Yabuki Y, Ogata H, Ohta T. The RING heterodimer BRCA1-BARD1 is a ubiquitin ligase inactivated by a breast cancer-derived mutation. *J Biol Chem* 2001; 276:14537-40.
132. Chen A, Kleiman FE, Manley JL, Ouchi T, Pan ZQ. Autoubiquitination of the BRCA1\*BARD1 RING ubiquitin ligase. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277:22085-92.
133. Pan Y, Chen J. MDM2 promotes ubiquitination and degradation of MDMX. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003; 23:5113-21.
134. Li M, Chen D, Shiloh A, Luo J, Nikolaev AY, Qin J, Gu W. Deubiquitination of p53 by HAUSP is an important pathway for p53 stabilization. *Nature* 2002; 416:648-53.
135. Cummings JM, Rago C, Kohli M, Kinzler KW, Lengauer C, Vogelstein B. Tumour suppression: Disruption of *HAUSP* gene stabilizes p53. *Nature* 2004; 428:1, (p following 486).
136. Li M, Brooks CL, Kon N, Gu W. A dynamic role of HAUSP in the p53-Mdm2 pathway. *Mol Cell* 2004; 13:879-86.
137. Grossman SR, Perez M, Kung AL, Joseph M, Mansur C, Xiao ZX, Kumar S, Howley PM, Livingston DM. p300/MDM2 complexes participate in MDM2-mediated p53 degradation. *Mol Cell* 1998; 2:405-15.
138. Kubbutat MH, Ludwig RL, Levine AJ, Vousden KH. Analysis of the degradation function of Mdm2. *Cell Growth Differ* 1999; 10:87-92.
139. Argentini M, Barboule N, Wasyluk B. The contribution of the acidic domain of MDM2 to p53 and MDM2 stability. *Oncogene* 2001; 20:1267-75.
140. Zhu Q, Yao J, Wani G, Wani MA, Wani AA. Mdm2 mutant defective in binding p300 promotes ubiquitination but not degradation of p53: Evidence for the role of p300 in integrating ubiquitination and proteolysis. *J Biol Chem* 2001; 4:4.
141. Zeng SX, Jin Y, Kuninger DT, Rotwein P, Lu H. The acetylase activity of p300 is dispensable for MDM2 stabilization. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:7453-8.
142. Brignone C, Bradley KE, Kisselev AF, Grossman SR. A post-ubiquitination role for MDM2 and hHR23A in the p53 degradation pathway. *Oncogene* 2004.
143. Madura K. The ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain: On the path from prudence to prurience. *Cell Cycle* 2002; 1:235-44.
144. Upadhyaya SC, Hegde AN. A potential proteasome-interacting motif within the ubiquitin-like domain of parkin and other proteins. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2003; 28:280-3.
145. Glockzin S, Ogi FX, Hengstermann A, Scheffner M, Blattner C. Involvement of the DNA repair protein hHR23 in p53 degradation. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003; 23:8960-9.
146. Onel K, Cordon-Cardo C. MDM2 and prognosis. *Mol Cancer Res* 2004; 2:1-8.
147. Adams J. The proteasome: A suitable antineoplastic target. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004; 4:349-60.